

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,165	06/24/2002	Seizo Sunago	221181US3PCT	9703
22850	7590 01/13/2005	•	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			DEAK, LESLIE R	
1940 DUKE	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLAANDI	MA, VA 22514	·	3762	<del></del>
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/13/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/089,165	SUNAGO ET AL <b>M</b>					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie R. Deak	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control. In reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 June 2002.						
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	` ' '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Hents have been received in A Poriority documents have been Freau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
	•						
Attachment(s)	A) [ ]	·					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) interview to Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. As such, the examiner has examined the claims to the best of her ability.
- 4. Several times the claims refer to limitations that are not previously defined in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these unsupported limitations in the claims.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "characterized in that..." in line 6. That phrase renders the claim indefinite because the claim does not clearly set forth the metes and the bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/089,165

Art Unit: 3762

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

7. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,031,892 to Hurschmann. Hurschmann discloses a two-chamber mixing container that comprises two chambers for storing medicines in separate areas. One of the medicines may be a lypholized dry medicine that is held next to, but separate from, a liquid diluent (see FIGS 5-7). The two chambers are connected in a single storage body. The dried medicine is stored in a tube 301 within the main container 307. The tube 301 has a plunger 305 for sealing one side of the tube, and a stopper 303 that connects the dry medicine chamber to the diluent chamber (see FIG 5). The stopper, or bottom of the smaller container, has a circumferential longitudinal groove that runs from the top end of the stopper to the bottom end of the stopper where it is halted by a flange in the stopper. Furthermore, Hurschmann discloses a method of assembling such a container by freeze-drying a medicine in a unit, and then assembling the device with the freeze-dried medicine container intact. See column 10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,165

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

9. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

US 4,031,892 to Hurschmann. Hurschmann discloses the device as claimed with the

exception of a pair of longitudinal grooves in the bottom of a small container. Absent a

showing of criticality of two grooves over one, the second groove is a mere duplication

of the working parts of the previously disclosed device. It has been held that mere

duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

See MPEP 2144.04.

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. US 3,810,469

Hurschmann

- i. Multiple compartment medicament devices
- b. US 5,549,561

Hiertman

ii. Injection cartridge with separate medicament chambers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 571-272-

4943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,165

Art Unit: 3762

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7 January 2005

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Engel, D. Alhe,